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Abstract—Our research endeavors to investigate the correlation between favoritism and student psychology, concurrently exploring the potential mediating influence of classroom management. We gathered primary data from 120 students in higher educational institutions in Karachi, Pakistan. The analysis, conducted using Smart PLS v.3.3.5 and IBM SPSS v.26, revealed noteworthy impacts of favoritism on both students, Learning psychology, and classroom management. However, no significant relationship emerged between classroom management and students' psychology. Furthermore, the study indicated that classroom management does not serve as a mediating factor in the relationship between favoritism and students’ learning psychology. The findings underscore the significance of teachers evaluating their behavior and intentions in the classroom, given that the overall class environment is shaped by their actions. Favoritism was identified as a detrimental factor influencing students’ learning psychology, resulting in diminished self-esteem and motivation. The study emphasizes the necessity of eliminating favoritism to promote equality among students and stimulate their active participation.
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Introduction

Favoritism has become increasingly prevalent across various sectors, permeating into the education domain. The detrimental impact of favoritism on students is well-documented, leading to demotivation, reduced effort, lower grades, diminished attention, and adverse psychological outcomes (Kanwel et al., 2021; Ali, M. Khan & Hussain, 2018). Such experiences contribute to heightened levels of anxiety, depression, feelings of inferiority, and a decline in motivation, collectively impeding students’ psychological well-being (Fatima & Miran, 2023). Hence, the psychology of the student becomes a concern stemming from favoritism. To address this issue, teachers should engage in classroom management. This variable serves to reduce favoritism, and as favoritism diminishes, the stability of students’ psychology is upheld (Rovall, 2020).
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At the university level, students grapple with favoritism, adversely affecting their academic performance and career development (Quraishi et al., 2021). The existence of favoritism is closely linked to psychological distress (Alwerthan, Swanson, and Rogge, 2018; Zaki, Rafiq & Afzal, 2023). Classroom activities and management play a crucial role in shaping the class environment, with students keenly perceiving instances of favoritism (Ehsan & Naaz, 2019). Such favoritism can manifest based on superficial factors like appearance, gender, and other subjective criteria (Hussain, Rafiq & Malik, 2019). Despite the evident ramifications, there exists a dearth of comprehensive studies illuminating the intricate role of favoritism in the classroom on student psychology. This research seeks to bridge this gap by delving into the mediating role of classroom management between teachers’ favoritism and students’ psychology. A fundamental contribution lies in shedding light on the significance of positive teacher-student relationships devoid of bias, a factor linked to enhanced academic achievement (Nieto et al., 2021). This study also addresses a void identified in the work of Francisco and Celon (2020), emphasizing the imperative for teachers to prioritize classroom management over favoritism in instructional practices.

The theoretical contribution of this research extends to advancing our understanding of the dynamics within teacher-student relationships and their impact on student outcomes. By exploring the mediating role of classroom management, this study provides insights into the mechanisms that can foster a positive learning environment. On a practical level, the findings underscore the urgency for educators to reevaluate their instructional practices and cultivate a classroom atmosphere free from favoritism. In doing so, this study aims to contribute not only to academic discourse but also to the improvement of educational practices in mitigating the adverse effects of favoritism on student psychology.

Literature Review

Theoretical Foundation

Social identity theory was put forward by Tajfel and his colleagues in 1979. Social identity theory introduced the concept that a person’s self-concepts are based on their membership in social groups. The primary idea of social identity theory is that group members of an in-group will attempt to find negative characteristics of an out-group, thereby intensifying their self-image (Greene, 2002; Brewer, 1999). Social identity theory originated from Henri Tajfel’s initial work, which investigated the processes leading to social stereotypes and prejudice. Tajfel first established the concept of social identity in 1972, examining how one forms the concept of self-based on the social groups to which one belongs. Subsequently, Tajfel and his student John Turner introduced social identity theory in 1979. However, the theory is intended to elucidate both cognitive processes and motivational processes (Hogg, Abrams & Brewer, 2017). It is suggested that social identification and self-esteem contribute to psychological well-being (Cameron, 1999). Any inequality among students in education or in any education-related engagement activity is considered out-group favoritism (Kelly, 2009). In the classroom, students exhibit more favored behavior towards in-group classmates compared to out-group classmates (Kisfalusi, Janky & Takács, 2018), contributing to the favoritism factor in the classroom. Moreover, social identification and depression have a strong and complex relationship (Postmes et al., 2018). Social identity theory is relevant to our topic in the way that it presents the idea that people have their own social categorization, identification, and comparison. If any one of these factors is disrupted, it leads to a human inferiority complex or may result in some psychological dysfunction. The same applies to the case of students’ psychology; if they sense any kind of bias, it will reflect in their self-esteem. Favoritism is essentially a term associated with inequality, rights, and fairness that are not consistently granted to individuals in various aspects of life. Those who engage in favoritism, neglecting concepts like fairness, equal rights, justice, and equal opportunity, may face criticism from different perspectives (Dagli and Akyol, 2019). Favoritism is considered a barrier to the student-teacher relationship, manifesting as mistreatment that cannot be tolerated. Teachers often engage in favoritism through special actions or preferential treatment for selected students. Favoritism can arise based on factors such as gender, skin color, status, power, and familial relationships (Hussain, Abid, and Rafique, 2019). Nevertheless, favoritism is ingrained in human nature, pervasive in educational institutions and organizational settings, and is evolving into an ethical issue (Ozler and Buyukarslan, 2011). Aydogan (2012) outlined several dimensions of favoritism in his study: 1) people with similar political views, 2) related ideology and religion, 3) in-group favoritism (being related), 4) opposite gender, and 5) similarity in race and society. These factors contribute to academic favoritism in universities. Julian & Peters (2017) pointed out in their study that appearance pertains to how an individual looks and performs. It serves as an evaluative factor in the classroom, influencing how students are assessed and marked based on their appearance. Terrier (2020) explains that favoritism can be based on gender or distinct character traits, leading to observable differences in progress, with males often progressing less than females in the classroom. Suitior et al. (2016) identify dimensions of favoritism, including 1) emotional closeness, 2) conflicts, 3) evaluative favoritism, and 4) disappointment (arising when expectations are not met). Psychology is among the numerous factors that impact human everyday activities. The success and failure we encounter in various daily tasks often depend on our consistent psychology. Student psychology also plays a vital role in this context, as educational institutions significantly influence students’ perspectives. It can lead to various issues, such as anxiety, depression, low motivation, and low self-esteem (Teshome, 2018). Similarly, student psychology has a joint relationship with motivation. Students’ psychological factors are determined to achieve their goals by gaining skills and acquiring
knowledge (Aprielieva et al., 2016). Furthermore, student psychology encompasses a student’s viewpoint, talent, and ability. Mainly, student psychology begins from the initial years of their academic journey, during which they may experience anxiety initially but not depression. Student psychology essentially shapes an individual’s mindset and influences how they navigate their academic sessions (Cookie et al., 2006). Ali Abdi (2012) portrayed in his study the dimension of student psychology, emphasizing that the main ability of human nature is thinking. He further stated that students may have different thinking styles or ways of critical thinking, which should involve a proper analysis, judgment, or interpretation of their conceptions. He clearly differentiated between students’ thinking styles and critical thinking. Daggol. (2013). elaborated in his study on learning to speak, which directly contributed to motivation. Without willingness or motivation, it creates a barrier for a student to learn their speaking skills properly, which may affect their mind. He mentioned that three dimensions are important regarding student motivation in their mind: 1) Interest, 2) Setting a goal, and 3) Taking action. Abdali et al. (2016) discussed some dimensions of changing attitude, meaning attitude depends on how you behave. Basically, students’ attitude relies on how they are being treated by their instructor. Sadia Saleem and Zahid Mahmood (2013) explained some dimensions regarding stu
dents’ mental psychological issues, such as feelings of mental sickness, low self-esteem and morale, lack of self-activation, and anxiousness. Classroom management is defined as maintaining a proper attitude of students related to the rules of the classroom (Emmer and Sabornie, 2015). According to Emmer & Stough (2001), classroom management is basically defined as an incentive taken by the teacher to promote classroom discipline, student engagement activities, and coordination. Also, classroom management is very important to create a positive environment for students. The teacher’s behavior can make a difference in the classroom, making it easier for students to pursue their goals (Allen, 1986). Ofoghi, Sadeghi & Babei (2016) discuss classroom management dimensions in their study related to atmosphere and teacher management style in the classroom. The classroom atmosphere is very important in a student’s life. A professional classroom atmosphere creates a positive impact on the student’s learning process and develops competitive scenarios for students, helping them showcase their skills and abilities. Meanwhile, the teacher’s management style is directly proportional to the classroom atmosphere; teacher managerial skills play an important part in student learning. Managing a class professionally is crucial in this dimension.

Alter & Haydon (2017) in their study talk about the behavior and rules of the classroom. Managing the different kinds of behavior of different students is very challenging for teachers. Rules play a vital role in effective classroom management. Rules are basic things that students learn, and it is also the duty of teachers to teach classroom rules in a positive manner. Hill and Epps (2010) discussed the classroom management dimension related to the physical environment. The physical environment of the classroom has a direct relationship with providing students with a better opportunity in the learning process. The upgrading process and customizing the classroom materials according to the theme of the learning environment have a positive impact on students. Kayikci (2009) has observed that student behavior has a relative relation with classroom management. Teachers must have disciplinary behavior to create a positive classroom environment. The research aims to analyze the interconnectedness of favoritism, student psychology, and classroom management. It seeks to understand how favoritism, rooted in human nature and various dimensions, affects the psychological well-being of students while considering the mediating impact of classroom management between favoritism and psychology. Hence, the theoretical model employed in our study is illustrated in Figure 1.
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**Figure 1 Theoretical model**

**Hypothesis Development**

A teacher’s responsibility is to maintain a conducive classroom environment for learning. When teachers engage in favoritism among students, it negatively impacts classroom management. This favored behavior leads to a lack of student participation, resulting in deficiencies in their social skills (Usman, 2019). Teacher actions, such as providing extra favors to specific students, contribute to favoritism. Such activities create a demotivated, unproductive, and unpleasant learning environment, which is undesirable for effective classroom management (Ali, Ashraf & Shuai, 2019). Additionally, a teacher’s non-verbal attitudes, attempts, and behavior directly influence the classroom climate, a significant factor in classroom management (Johnson, 2009). Students closely connected to teachers socially receive positive attitudes, leading to higher motivation compared to others and impacting classroom management (Mazer, Murphy & Simonds, 2007). Based on these studies, the following hypothesis is formulated:
H1: Favoritism has a significant relation with Classroom management.

When students perceive mismanagement in the classroom, their performance may decline, resulting in demotivation and low academic achievement (George, 2017). Classroom management techniques indicate teachers’ intentions towards students and can create either a positive or negative impact. The classroom environment, influenced by management techniques, directly affects students’ activities, performance, and perception (Igbinoba & Marvelous, 2015). A teacher’s awareness of management styles is crucial for maintaining students’ academic performance (Nisar, Khan & Khan, 2019). Effective classroom management positively influences student behavior, contributing to a better learning environment (Kunter, Baumert & Koller, 2007). The following hypothesis is proposed based on these studies.

H2: There is a positive relationship between Classroom management and Students’ psychology.

Teachers’ positive behavior towards students creates a conducive environment for performance, while an uninterested attitude makes students inconsistent in their studies (Ulgu, Ozden & Eryilmaz, 2011). Equal attention to all students is essential to prevent feelings of stress, anxiety, and inferiority complex among students, which can negatively impact their psychology (Ali, Ashraf & Shuai, 2019). Teachers must understand student scenarios, stay positive, and influence students positively for better results (Shah, 2009). Discriminatory behavior by teachers changes students’ behavior, leading to unfavorable outcomes like depression, anxiety, stress, and meaninglessness (Jiang & Dong, 2020). The following hypothesis is proposed based on these studies:

H3: Favoritism has an affective impact on students’ psychology.

Teacher competence affects student achievement, and classroom management, encompassing teaching quality and a supportive climate, acts as a mediator between them (Fauth et al., 2019). Proper classroom management, achieved through admirable teaching techniques, enhances student motivation (Schiefele, 2017). Classroom climate, comprising student engagement, interest, participation, teacher support, and assistance, reflects the student-teacher relationship, mediating the perception developed by both parties (Jiménez et al., 2021). Classroom management, including a motivational learning climate, can mediate the link between transformational teaching and self-determined motivation (Castillo et al., 2020). Based on these studies, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H4: Classroom management can be used as a mediator.

Research Methodology

The research was conducted as a cross-sectional study, collecting data at a specific point in time. It follows a quantitative approach, gathering primary data through questionnaires and utilizing a deductive methodology. With a focus on the impact of teacher favoritism on student psychology, the target population comprises students in higher educational institutions. Due to the substantial nature of the population, the rule of thumb proposed by Saunders, Lewis, and Thornhill (2009) was applied, resulting in a sample size of 120 by multiplying each hypothesis by 30. The sample exclusively consists of students from higher educational institutions in Karachi. To ensure balanced representation, quota sampling was employed, dividing the population into two gender groups: male and female. Out of 120 respondents, 56 (46.7%) are male, and 64 (53.3%) are female. The survey instrument integrates questions adapted from various studies. Questions for the independent variable, favoritism, were extracted from the questionnaire presented by Ehsaan & Naz (2019). Their study identified three factors, and we included only the important questions from the third factor, which is discrimination. Questions for the dependent variable, “student’s psychology,” were taken from a research project emphasizing student perception (Chory, Horan & Houser, 2017). Only the most important questions were included. Lastly, key questions for classroom management were picked up from Saiﬁ et al. (2018). The questionnaire was designed on a Google form and distributed to university students through WhatsApp. For data analysis, Smart PLS version 3.3.5 and IBM SPSS Statistics 26 software were utilized. Demographic analysis was performed using SPSS, while Smart PLS was employed to test the measurement model, assessing loadings, average variance extracted (AVE), composite reliability (CR), and discriminant validity. The structural model was evaluated for direct and indirect effects. Additionally, the model’s quality was tested, presenting results for $F^2$, $R^2$, and $Q^2$.

Results and Discussion

After collecting data, we applied several tests to obtain the results of the study. The findings of our research study are presented below: The frequency distribution table displays the number of respondents’ gender, age, and education, along with the percentages of their responses.
Table I

Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no.</th>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18 - 24</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>90.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 or above</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undergraduate</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Graduate</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Frequency shows that 46.7% of the respondents for our research are male, and 53.3% are female. Among them, 5% belong to the under 18 age group, 90.8% are aged between 18-24, and 4.2% are 25 or above. Furthermore, 87.5% of the respondents were undergraduates, while 12.5% were graduates.

The Measurement Model

Initially, we conducted a reliability test to assess the validity of the items included in this study. This section addresses the consistency of each item and discusses discriminant and convergent validities, drawing from works such as Hair et al. (2012, 2014, 2016) and Henseler et al. (2009). The reliability of each item was examined by evaluating the outer loadings of individual items within the hypothesis. According to several prominent authors (Carmines and Zeller, 1979; Hair et al., 2014), an outer loading of 0.70 or higher is considered indicative of internal item reliability.
Table II
Loadings, Average Variance Extracted (AVE), and Composite Reliability (CR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Loadings</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Management</td>
<td>CM1</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CM2</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CM3</td>
<td>0.708</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CM4</td>
<td>0.813</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CM5</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favoritism</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>0.701</td>
<td>0.585</td>
<td>0.808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F3</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F4</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Psychology</td>
<td>SP2</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.661</td>
<td>0.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SP5</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

So, this research exhibits outer loadings ranging from 0.701 to 0.882, indicating the reliability of individual items. Next, we assessed the composite reliability (CR) to determine the internal consistency of items, following the guidelines recommended by Bagozzi and Yi (1988). According to their suggestion, the CR coefficient should be equal to or greater than 0.70. The CR values obtained in our research range from 0.794 to 0.883, affirming the validity.

Moreover, we employed Average Variance Extracted (AVE) scores to assess convergent validity, with recommendations from Chin (1998). Chin proposed that AVE values should be equal to or greater than 0.50 for convergent validity. Testing the average variance extracted (AVE) revealed values between 0.585 and 0.661, confirming the presence of convergent validity. Additional information is provided in Table 2.

Table III
Discriminant validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>C-M</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>S-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classroom Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Favoritism</td>
<td>0.313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students Psychology</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 displays the results of discriminant validity, which has been previously evaluated for this study, and the outcomes meet the criteria of discriminant validity established by Henseler, Ringle, and Sarstedt (2015). They propose that the threshold value should not exceed 0.9, and the results presented in Table 3 demonstrate the existence of discriminant validity.

Assessment for Structural Model

The initial aspect of assessing the structural model pertains to testing theoretical relationships (Hair et al., 2014). To ascertain the relationships among variables, we tested the data and determined the nature of the relationship between the variables, whether positive or negative.
The results specify that favoritism (F) has a constructive impact on Student Psychology (SP) ($t = 6.779, p = 0.000$). This result aligns with the theoretical relationship mentioned in the literature. Additionally, favoritism also significantly influences Classroom Management (CM) ($t = 2.328, p = 0.020$), supporting the presented hypothesis. Furthermore, the result indicates that Classroom Management does not have a significant relation with Student Psychology ($t = 0.975, p = 0.330$). This rejects the alternate hypothesis suggesting a positive relationship between Classroom Management and Student psychology, indicating no significant link due to the constraints of our research. The limited sample size and insufficient awareness among the sample about the role of classroom management in student’s psychology contribute to this result, differing from other authors. Finally, the results show that Classroom Management does not play a mediating role between Favoritism and Student Psychology ($t = 0.796, p = 0.426$). This rejects the alternate hypothesis as Classroom Management does not have any relation with Student Psychology, failing to mediate the connection.

### Quality of Models

To evaluate the quality of the models, we tested F-square, R-square, and Q-square, using the blindfolding approach to assess the model’s predictive capability. Predictive relevance is indicated by cross-validated redundancy (CV redundancy), where a value greater than 0, according to Fornell and Cha (1994), suggests predictive relevance, while a value less than 0 indicates otherwise. Additionally, Hair et al. (2014) suggested R-square values of 0.25, 0.50, and 0.75 as low, reasonable, and significant, respectively, with Falk and Miller (1992) proposing 0.10 as reasonable. Furthermore, Cohen (1988) put forth $F^2$ values of 0.02 for weak results, 0.15 for moderate results, and 0.35 for strong outcome.

The results show that the values of $Q^2$ are greater than 0, indicating the model’s predictive capability. The resulting values of R-square in this research are 0.065 and 0.24, which are low but acceptable. Moreover, Table 5 presents the result that the value of $F^2$ for Classroom Management with Favoritism is less than 0.15, indicating a moderate effect. On the other hand, the value of Students’ Psychology with Classroom Management is 0.013, suggesting a weak relation, and the value of $F^2$ for Student’s Psychology with Favoritism is greater than 0.15, specifically 0.252, supporting the impact of favored teacher behavior on student’s psychology or thinking perception.

### Discussion

The aim of this study is to determine the consequence of favoritism on student psychology and to inspect the mediating role of classroom management. The result has indicated that our first hypothesis of the study has a positive concern between favoritism and classroom management. If the classroom environment is managed properly, students will have a secure atmosphere. However, when favoritism is practiced by teachers, student productivity decreases. This study aligns with the findings of Usman (2019), who suggested that increased favoritism results in lower productivity among students. Additionally, the results are consistent with studies by Ali, Ashraf & Shuai (2019), Johnson (2009), and Mazer, Murphy & Simonds (2007). The result shows that favoritism and student psychology have a positive relationship, in line with Ulug, Ozden & Eryilmaz (2011) and Vaughan et al. (1993). Teacher’s proper consideration toward students will advance their efficiency (Shah, 2009). Shahmohammadi (2014) and Schwab & Rossmannc (2020) suggest that favoritism exerts control on student psychology. Furthermore, there is a non-supporting relation between classroom management and student psychology, contradicting results suggesting that no class supervision can disturb student psychology. Our hypothesis support this relationship because George (2017) and Igbinoha & Marvelous (2015) credit the mentality of students with classroom management. If the class environment has encouraging provisions from teachers, student’s mental states will be stable (Kunter, Baumert & Koller, 2007). However, the results indicate that classroom management does not play a mediating role between favoritism and student psychology, differing from studies by Fauth et al., 2019 and Herb et al., 2007. They observe that good class management with equality and active student participation can be helpful for less favoritism and will have a great influence on student psychology. The result doesn’t complement our specific area of some higher educational institutes, where no concern with CM between favoritism and student psychology was identified. There is a possibility that this problem may have occurred in other educational institutes.
Theoretical Contribution

The theory chosen for this research study is the social identity theory by Tajfel in 1979. This theory is relevant to our topic as it includes in-group and out-group comparison and how an individual reacts with in-group and out-group biasness. Social identity theory explains how in-group individuals show favoritism to out-group individuals and how they react. However, this theory has been portrayed with a minor change in our research because it’s about teachers who show favoritism toward students and how they get affected mentally. This indicates how, overall, students and teachers are being divided into groups for favoritism. It means teachers with some students relate to each other and become in-group people and show biasness with other students that are counted as out-group. When teachers spread inequality around the class atmosphere, students’ self-confidence gets disrupted easily, and they get de-motivated. It means the teacher is what the teacher does, providing learning, and students are students who learn from their teachers. Despite the fact when teachers show in-group favoritism to out-group students, only a smaller number of students can learn what is being taught, not the whole class due to partiality. In-group favoritism and out-group discrimination are often viewed as two sides of the same coin. A student's mental state is crucial because everything depends on their morale and inner health. Since equality is essential, teachers’ positive nature towards every student must be similar. Social identity theory narrates that favoritism decreases every individual’s self-esteem, self-confidence, and optimism, so it concerns the same with the topic of our research—students’ self-assurance gets declined, and due to this, they become unable to give their output for better results.

Practical Contribution

Information gathered through observation of patterns and experiments illustrates that favoritism has a direct effect on student’s psychology. Therefore, the teacher’s behavior is a supporting factor for favoritism; positive and negative consequences on student psychology depend upon the behavior of the teacher. Teachers should evaluate their behavior and intentions in the classroom because the whole class environment is established by the teacher. Undoubtedly, students form perceptions based on the environment they receive or observe, and then their performance and reactions are based on what they observe. However, student motivation is directly proportional to their academic achievements. Therefore, our results contribute to teachers understanding how they can incorporate their behavior and develop equality among students, which helps in eradicating favoritism.

Conclusion

In this era, favoritism is rapidly increasing in the educational sector. Many studies show the positive effect of favoritism on students’ psychology. However, there are several studies that don’t cover the entire framework. In this study, we attempt to evaluate the reflection of teacher’s behavior, favoritism, and classroom management on students’ psychology. The negative impact of favoritism obliterates students’ skills and motivation for study. Favoritism is destructive to any student’s life, and they may behave strangely with whomever they encounter. Therefore, educational institutes bear the responsibility to understand their students, conduct surveys to know their opinions, treat students fairly, avoid favoritism while grading, and provide equal opportunities for students to showcase their abilities and encourage participation in various activities.

Limitations and Future Recommendations

This study has specific limitations, such as its restricted sample size, which comprises only 120 students from higher educational institutes located in Karachi city. To enhance the scope of future investigations, it is advisable to broaden the study with a more extensive and diverse sample, including students from various higher educational institutes in multiple cities. Furthermore, the study incorporates one independent, one dependent, and one mediating variable without specifying directions for each. This limitation could be mitigated in future research conducted by other scholars in this field.
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